arXiv:0904.2689v2 [math-ph] 22 May 2009

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF RESOLVENTS OF LARGE DILUTE WIGNER RANDOM MATRICES

S. Ayadi and O. Khorunzhiy
LMV - Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles
Université de Versailles - Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines
78035 Versailles (FRANCE)

22 mai 2009

Résumé

We study the spectral properties of the dilute Wigner random real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $H_{n,p}$ such that the entries $H_{n,p}(i,j)$ take zero value with probability $1-p/n$. We prove that under rather general conditions on the probability distribution of $H_{n,p}(i,j)$ the semicircle law is valid for the dilute Wigner ensemble in the limit $n, p \to \infty$. In the second part of the paper we study the leading term of the correlation function of the resolvent $G_{n,p}(z) = (H_{n,p} - zI)^{-1}$ with large enough $|\mathrm{Im} z|$ in the limit $p, n \to \infty$, $p = O(n^{\alpha})$, $3/5 < \alpha < 1$. We show that this leading term, when considered in the local spectral scale, converges to the same limit as that of the resolvent correlation function of the Wigner ensemble of random matrices. This shows that the moderate dilution of the Wigner ensemble does not alter its universality class.

1 Introduction

The initial interest in the spectral theory of large random matrices has been motivated by the stochastic approach to the descriptions of the energy spectrum of heavy nuclei (see e.g. the collection of early papers [22]). Later random matrices of infinitely increasing dimensions have seen numerous applications in various branches of theoretical and mathematical physics such as statistical mechanics of disordered spin systems, solid state physics, quantum chaos theory, two-dimensional gravity (see monographs and reviews [3, 6, 10, 11]). In mathematics, the spectral theory of random matrices has revealed deep links with the orthogonal polynomials, integrable systems, representation theory, combinatorics, non-commutative probability theory and other theories [19].

The first result of the spectral theory of large random matrices was obtained by E. Wigner in the middle of 50th [25] on the eigenvalue distribution of the ensemble A_n of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices of the form

$$A_n(i,j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} a(i,j), \quad i,j = 1,\dots,n, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\{a(i,j),\ 1 \le i \le j \le n\}$ are independent random variables. E. Wigner [25] proved that in the case when random variables $a(i,j)$ have symmetric probability distribution with the second moment v^2 and such that all random variables $a(i,j)$ have all moments finite, then the eigenvalue counting function

$$\sigma_n(\lambda, A_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sharp\{\lambda_j^{(n)} \le \lambda\}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\lambda_1^{(n)} \le \ldots \le \lambda_n^{(n)}$ denote the eigenvalues of A_n, weakly converges in average as $n \to \infty$ to the limiting function $\sigma_{sc}(\lambda)$, with the derivative $\sigma'_{sc}(\lambda) = \rho_{sc}$ of the semicircle form

$$\rho_{sc}(\lambda) = \sigma'_{sc}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi v^2} \begin{cases} \sqrt{4v^2 - \lambda^2}, & \text{if } \ |\lambda| \le 2v; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

This limiting distribution (1.4) is referred to as the Wigner distribution and the convergence

$$\sigma_n(\lambda, A_n) \to \sigma_{sc}(\lambda) \tag{1.4}$$

is known as the semicircle (or Wigner) law. Also the ensemble of random matrices $\{A_n\}$ (1.1) with jointly independent centered random variables a_{ij} having the variance v^2 is called the Wigner ensemble. Wigner has proved convergence (1.4) with the help of the averaged moments of $\sigma_n(\lambda, A_n)$ determined in natural way by the traces of powers of A_n.

Another proof of the semicircle law (1.4) can by obtained in frameworks of the resolvent approach introduced first in the random matrix theory by V. Marchenko and L. Pastur [18]. Moreover, it can be shown that the normalized trace of the resolvent $g_n(z) = \frac{1}{n}\, \mathrm{Tr}\, (A - z)^{-1}$ converges to the Stieltjes transform $w(z)$ of $\sigma_{sc}(\lambda)$ under much more relaxed conditions than those of the Wigner's original proof [18, 21].

The further progress in the studies of the resolvent of random matrices of the Wigner ensemble is related with the asymptotic expansions of the covariance function

$$C_n(z_1, z_2) = \mathbf{E}\{g_n(z_1) g_n(z_2)\} - \mathbf{E}\{g_n(z_1)\}\mathbf{E}\{g_n(z_2)\} \tag{1.5}$$

that is sometimes referred to as the correlation function of the resolvent. In paper [13], it is proved that if arbitrary distributed random variables a_{ij} have the fifth moment finite, then the asymptotic expansion of $C_n(z_1, z_2)$ is given by

$$C_n(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{n^2} f(z_1, z_2) + o(\frac{1}{n^2}), \quad |\mathrm{Im}\, z_j| > 2v, \tag{1.6}$$

where the leading term $f(z_1, z_2)$ depends on the limiting Stieltjes transform $w(z)$ and on the moments $\mathbf{E}a(i,j)^2 = (1 + \delta_{ij})v^2$ and $V_4 = \mathbf{E}a(i,j)^4$; the form of this term is such that in the local scaling limit the following convergence holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} f(\lambda - \frac{r}{2n} + i0, \lambda + \frac{r}{2n} - i0) = -\frac{1}{r^2}, \quad |\lambda| < 2v. \tag{1.7}$$

This expression coincides with the averaged version of the density-density covariance function obtained by F. Dyson for the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble of random matrices [7]. The right-hand side of (1.7) does not depend on the moments V_{2l} of random variables and this result supports the universality conjecture for the local spectral properties of random matrices in the bulk of the spectrum.

During two last decades, there is a growing interest to certain versions of the Wigner ensemble of random matrices named by the dilute random matrices (for example, see the review [14]). The spectral properties of this kind of ensembles have been intensively studied numerically and analytically in theoretical physics literature (see [8, 9, 23] for the earlier results and [12, 24] for the recent advances and references). In particular, it is shown that in the limit of large n and not too strong dilution the semicircle law is valid for the dilute Wigner ensembles [23] ; also the universal behavior of the density-density correlation function is detected on the theoretical physics level of rigour [9].

The aim of the present paper is two-fold. First, we prove the analog of the statement (1.5) for the dilute Wigner ensembles of random matrices such that a_{ij} belong to fairly wide classes of random variables. To do this, we develop the cumulant expansions approach proposed in [13] to study the resolvent of the Wigner random matrices. In the second part of the present paper, we use the technique developed and prove analogs of relation (1.6) for the dilute Wigner random matrices. We show that in certain asymptotic regimes the analogs of the universality relation (1.7) are true. This allows one to conclude about the universality of the local spectral statistics of dilute Wigner random matrices.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we define the dilute Wigner random matrix ensemble $H_{n,p}$ and formulate our main results. In Section 3 we prove the semicircle law. In Section 4 we study the corresponding correlation function $C_{n,p}(z_1, z_2)$ of the resolvent $G_{n,p}(z) = (H_{n,p} - zI)^{-1}$ we show that the variance of the normalized trace of the resolvent $\mathbf{Var} g_{n,p}(z)$ is bounded by $(np)^{-1}$; also we find the leading terms of $C_{n,p}(z_1, z_2)$. In Section 5 we prove the auxiliary statements used in Section 4. In Section 6 we study the asymptotic properties of the leading terms of $C_{n,p}(z_1, z_2)$ and prove analogs of relation (1.7).

2 Main results and the scheme of the proofs

2.1 Dilute Wigner ensemble of random matrices

Let us consider a family of independent Bernoulli random variables $\mathcal{D}_{n,p} = \{d_{n,p}(i,j) : \ 1 \le i \le j \le n\}$ with the law

$$d_{n,p}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability} \quad p/n \\ 0 & \text{with probability} \quad 1 - p/n, \quad 0 < p \le n \end{cases}$$

that is independent of the family of independent random variables $\mathcal{A}_n$. We assume that $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, F, \mathbf{P})$ and we denote by $\mathbf{E}\{.\}$ the mathematical expectation with respect to $\mathbf{P}$.

We assume that the random variables a_{ij} satisfy conditions

$$\mathbf{E} a_{ij} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E} a_{ij}^2 = (1 + \delta_{ij})\, v^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol. In what follows, we require the existence of several more absolute moments of $a(i,j)$ that we denote by

$$\mu_r = \sup_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \mathbf{E}\{|a(i,j)|^r\},$$

where the upper bound for r is to be specified.

We define the dilute Wigner ensemble as the family of real symmetric $n \times n$ random matrices $H_{n,p}$ of the form

$$H_{n,p}(i,j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} a(i,j) d_{n,p}(i,j), \quad 1 \le i \le j \le n \tag{2.2}$$

and consider the resolvent

$$G_{n,p}(z) = (H_{n,p} - z)^{-1}, \quad \mathrm{Im}\, z \neq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

The normalized trace of the resolvent $g_{n,p}(z) = n^{-1}\, \mathrm{Tr}\, G_{n,p}(z)$ represents the Stieltjes transform of the normalized eigenvalue counting function $\sigma(\lambda; H_{n,p})$ (1.2)

$$g_{n,p}(z) = \frac{1}{n}\ \mathrm{Tr}\, G_{n,p}(z) = \int \frac{d\sigma(\lambda, H_{n,p})}{\lambda - z},\ \mathrm{Im}\, z \neq 0.$$

We study asymptotic behavior of $g_{n,p}(z)$ in the limit $n, p \to \infty$, for $z \in \Lambda_\eta$,

$$\Lambda_v = \{ z \in \mathbf{C} :\ |\mathrm{Im}\, z| \ge 2v + 1 \}. \tag{2.4}$$

Our first statement generalizes the result about the semicircle law in dilute Wigner ensemble of random matrices obtained under more restrictive conditions [14].

Theorem 2.1 *If the family of random variables $\mathcal{A}_n$ (2.1) is such that $\mu_{2+\rho} < \infty$ with $\rho > 0$, then $g_{n,p}(z)$ determined by (2.2) and (2.3) converges in probability :*

$$P - \lim_{n,p\to\infty} g_{n,p}(z) = w(z), \qquad z \in \Lambda_v, \tag{2.5}$$

where the function $w(z)$ verifies equation

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{-z - v^2 w(z)}, \quad Im\, z \neq 0; \tag{2.6}$$

$w(z)$ uniquely determines the semicircle distribution (1.3) being its Stieltjes transform and therefore (2.5) implies the weak convergence in probability

$$\sigma(\lambda; H_{n,p}) \to \sigma_{sc}(\lambda), \quad n, p \to \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the following two asymptotic relations :

$$\lim_{n,p\to\infty} \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z)\} = w(z), \qquad z \in \Lambda_v \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,p}(z)\} = o(1), \qquad z \in \Lambda_v, \quad as\ n, p \to \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

Indeed, convergence (2.5) can be deduced from (2.7) and (2.8) with the help of the standard arguments (see for example [1] or [16]).

The further improvement of (2.8) is related with the asymptotic properties of the resolvent covariance function

$$C_{n,p}(z_1, z_2) = \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z_1) g_{n,p}(z_2)\} - \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z_1)\}\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z_2)\}.$$

Let us formulate corresponding statement.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be such that, in addition to (2.1), the following properties are verified :*

$$\mathbf{E}\{a(i,j)^3\} = \mathbf{E}\{a(i,j)^5\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{a(i,j)^4\} = V_4(1+\delta_{ij})^2, \tag{2.9}$$

and $\mu_{14} < \infty$. Then in the limit $n, p \to \infty$ such that

$$p = O(n^\alpha), \quad 3/5 < \alpha \le 1, \tag{2.10}$$

equality

$$C_{n,p}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2v^2}{n^2} S(z_1, z_2) + \left(\frac{2V_4}{np} - \frac{6v^4}{n^2} \right) T(z_1, z_2) + o(n^{-2}) \tag{2.11}$$

holds for all $z_l \in \Lambda_v$ with S and T given by the formulas

$$S(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{(1 - v^2 w_1^2)(1 - v^2 w_2^2)} \left(\frac{w_1 - w_2}{z_1 - z_2} \right)^2, \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$T(z_1, z_2) = \frac{w_1^3 w_2^3}{(1 - v^2 w_1^2)(1 - v^2 w_2^2)}\ , \tag{2.13}$$

where $w_1 = w(z_1)$ and $w_2 = w(z_2)$ are the solutions of (2.6).

Let us discuss results of Theorem 2.2. If one considers the particular case of (2.10) when $p = n$, then (2.12) turns into equality

$$C_{n,p}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2}{n^2} \left(v^2 S(z_1, z_2) + K_4 T(z_1, z_2) \right) + o(n^{-2}), \tag{2.14}$$

where $K_4 = V_4 - 3v^4$ is the fourth cumulant of the random variable a_{ij}. Relation (2.14) coincides with that derived in [13] for the resolvent covariance function of the Wigner ensemble that one gets from $H_{n,p}$ (2.2) when taking $p = n$. Therefore Theorem 2.2 generalizes the results of [13].

It was shown in [13] that in the local scaling limit in the bulk of the spectrum

$$z_1 = \lambda + \frac{r}{2n} + i0, \ z_2 = \lambda - \frac{r}{2n} - i0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \in (-2v, 2v), \tag{2.15}$$

the leading term of (2.14) converges to the expression (cf. (1.7))

$$\frac{2}{n^2} \left(v^2 S(z_1, z_2) + K_4 T(z_1, z_2) \right) \to -\frac{1}{r^2}, \tag{2.16}$$

where the term with K_4 does not contribute.

In Section 6 we show that the leading term of $C_{n,p}(Z_1, z_2)$ (2.11) exhibits the same asymptotic behavior in the local scaling limit (2.15) as the leading term of the resolvent covariance function of the Wigner ensemble (2.16). This shows that the dilute random matrices considered in the limit of the moderate dilution (2.10) belong to the universality class of Wigner (non-diluted) random matrices. The lower band 3/5 in (2.10) is due to the technical restrictions related with the cumulant expansions we use. We discuss this question in more details at the end of the paper. Pushing forward this order of the one could decrease the value of the exponent α (2.10). But this demands under more computations than of the present paper.

2.2 Cumulant expansions and resolvent identities

We prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 by using the method proposed in papers [13] and [16] and further developed in [1, 2, 15]. The basic tools of this method are given by the resolvent identities combined with the cumulant expansions technique. In present section we present these technical tools and explain the scheme of the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

2.2.1 The cumulant expansions formula

Let us consider a family $\{X_t : \ t = 1, \dots, m\}$ of independent real random variables defined on the same probability space such that $\mathbf{E}\{|X_t|^{q+2}\} < \infty$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t = 1, \dots, m$. Then for any complex-valued function $F(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ of the class $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and for all j, one has

$$\mathbf{E}\{X_t F(X_1, \dots, X_m)\} = \sum_{r=0}^{q} \frac{K_{r+1}^{(X_t)}}{r!} \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{\partial^r F(X_1, \dots, X_m)}{(\partial X_t)^r} \right\} + \epsilon_q(X_t), \quad (2.17)$$

where $K_r^{(X_t)} = Cum_r(X_t)$ is the r-th cumulant of X_t and the remainder $\epsilon_q(X_t)$ can be estimated by inequality

$$|\epsilon_q(X_t)| \le C_q \sup_{U \in \mathbb{R}^m} |\frac{\partial^{q+1} F(U)}{\partial u_t^{q+1}}| \mathbf{E}\{|X_t|^{q+2}\}, \quad (2.18)$$

where C_q is a constant. Relations (2.17) and (2.18) can be proved by multiple using of the Taylor's formula [1] or by using the characteristic functions method (see for example, [13]).

Remarks.

1) The cumulants $K_r^{(X_t)}$ can be expressed in terms of the moments of $X - t$; in particular,

$$K_1^{(X_t)} = \breve{\mu}_1, \quad K_2^{(X_t)} = \breve{\mu}_2 - \breve{\mu}_1^2, \quad \text{where } \ \breve{\mu}_r = \mathbf{E}(X_t^r) \quad (2.19)$$

Regarding the right-hand side of (2.17) with $q = 1$, we see that the remainder $\epsilon_1(X_t)$ is given by the following relation

$$\epsilon_1(X_t) = -K_2^{(X_t)} \mathbf{E}\left\{ X_t \frac{\partial^2 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(2)})}{\partial X_t^2} \right\} - \frac{K_1^{(X_t)}}{2} \mathbf{E}\left\{ X_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(1)})}{\partial X_t^2} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}\left\{ X_t^3 \frac{\partial^2 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(0)})}{\partial X_t^2} \right\}. \quad (2.20)$$

2) In present paper we are mostly related with the case when $\mathbf{E}(X_t) = \mathbf{E}(X_t^3) = \mathbf{E}(X_t^5) = 0$. Then $K_1^{(X_t)} = K_3^{(X_t)} = K_5^{(X_t)} = 0$ and

$$K_2^{(X_t)} = \breve{\mu}_2, \quad K_4^{(X_t)} = \breve{\mu}_4 - 3\breve{\mu}_2^2, \quad K_6^{(X_t)} = \breve{\mu}_6 - 15\breve{\mu}_4\breve{\mu}_2 + 30\breve{\mu}_2^3. \quad (2.21)$$

In this case, the remainders $\epsilon_q(X_t)$ of (2.17) considered with $q = 1$, $q = 3$ and $q = 5$ are as follows :

$$\epsilon_1(X_t) = -K_2^{(X_t)} \mathbf{E}\left\{ X_t \frac{\partial^2 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(1)})}{\partial X_t^2} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}\left\{ X_t^3 \frac{\partial^2 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(0)})}{\partial X_t^2} \right\}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\epsilon_3(X_t) = -\frac{K_4^{(X_t)}}{3!}\mathbf{E}\left\{X_t \frac{\partial^4 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(2)})}{\partial X_t^4}\right\} - \frac{K_2^{(X_t)}}{3!}\mathbf{E}\left\{X_t^3 \frac{\partial^4 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(1)})}{\partial X_t^4}\right\}$$

$$+\frac{1}{4!}\mathbf{E}\left\{X_t^5 \frac{\partial^4 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(0)})}{\partial X_t^4}\right\} \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\epsilon_5(X_t) = -\frac{K_6^{(X_t)}}{5!}\mathbf{E}\left\{X_t \frac{\partial^6 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(3)})}{\partial X_t^6}\right\} - \frac{K_4^{(X_t)}}{(3!)^2}\mathbf{E}\left\{X_t^3 \frac{\partial^6 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(2)})}{\partial X_t^6}\right\}$$

$$-\frac{K_2^{(X_t)}}{5!}\mathbf{E}\left\{X_t^5 \frac{\partial^6 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(1)})}{\partial X_t^6}\right\} + \frac{1}{6!}\mathbf{E}\left\{X_t^7 \frac{\partial^6 F(\tilde{x}_t^{(0)})}{\partial X_t^6}\right\}, \tag{2.24}$$

where for any given $\nu = 0, \dots, 3$, $\tilde{x}_t^{(\nu)}$ is a real random variable that depends on X_t and such that $|\tilde{x}_t^{(\nu)}| \le |X_t|$. In what follows, we omit the superscripts (X_t) in the cumulants and use the following denotation

$$\frac{\partial^r F(\tilde{x}_t^{(\nu)})}{\partial X_t^r} = \left[\frac{\partial^r F}{\partial X_t^r}\right]^{(\nu)}.$$

2.2.2 Resolvent identities

Given two $n \times n$ matrices A and $\tilde{A}$ such that A^{-1} and $\tilde{A}^{-1}$ exist, we have

$$A^{-1} = \tilde{A}^{-1} - \tilde{A}^{-1}(A - \tilde{A})A^{-1} \tag{2.25}$$

In the particular case, relation (2.25) leads to the resolvent identity

$$(h - zI)^{-1} = \left(\tilde{h} - zI\right)^{-1} - \left(\tilde{h} - zI\right)^{-1}\left(h - \tilde{h}\right)(h - zI)^{-1} \tag{2.26}$$

is valid. Regarding (2.26) with $\tilde{h} = 0$ and denoting $G = (h - zI)^{-1}$, we get equality

$$G(i,j) = \xi\delta_{ij} - \xi\sum_{s=1}^{n} G(i,s)h(s,j), \quad \xi = -z^{-1}, \tag{2.27}$$

where $h(i,j),\ i,j = 1,\dots,n$ are the entries of the matrix h, $G(i,j)$ are the entries of the resolvent G and δ denotes the Kronecker symbol.

Using (2.26) we derive for $G = (h - zI)^{-1}$, $|\mathrm{Im}\, z| \neq 0$ equality

$$\frac{\partial G(s,t)}{\partial h(j,k)} = -\frac{1}{1+\delta_{jk}}\left[G(s,j)G(k,t) + G(s,k)G(j,t)\right]. \tag{2.28}$$

We will also need two more formulas based on (2.28) ; these are expressions for $\partial^2 G(i,j)/\partial h(j,i)^2$ and $\partial^3 G(i,j)/\partial^3 h(j,i)$. We present them later.

2.2.3 The scheme of the proof of the semicircle law

Let us explain the main idea of the proof of Theorem 2.1 that follows the lines of the paper [13]. Here we consider the case when $\mu_3 = \sup_{i,j} \mathbf{E}|a_{ij}|^3 < \infty$. Using (2.23) with $h = H_{n,p}$ and denoting $\xi = -z^{-1}$, we can write that

$$\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z)\} = \xi - \frac{\xi}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_{n,p}(i,j)H_{n,p}(j,i)\}. \tag{2.29}$$

To compute $\mathbf{E}\{G_{n,p}(i,j)H_{n,p}(j,i)\}$, we use relations (2.17) and (2.28) and obtain the following expressions (to simplify formulas we omit here and everywhere below the subscripts n,p when no confusion can arise) ;

- if $j < i$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,j)H(j,i)\} &= K_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\partial G(i,j)}{\partial H(j,i)}\right\} + \epsilon_{ji}^{(1)} \\ &= -\frac{v^2}{n}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,j)^2 + G(i,i)G(j,j)\} + \epsilon_{ji}^{(1)} \end{aligned}\tag{2.30}$$

with

$$\epsilon_{ji}^{(1)} = K_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\left\{H(j,i)\left[\frac{\partial^2 G(i,j)}{\partial H(j,i)^2}\right]^{(1)}\right\} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\left\{H(j,i)^3\left[\frac{\partial^2 G(i,j)}{\partial H(j,i)^2}\right]^{(0)}\right\}, \tag{2.31}$$

where we used the denotations of the end of subsection 2.2.1.
In (2.30), we have used (2.28) in the form

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\partial G_{n,p}(i,j)}{\partial H_{n,p}(j,i)}\right\} = \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\partial G(i,j)}{\partial h(j,i)}|_{h=H_{n,p}}\right\}.$$

Also we have taken into account that

$$K_2(j,i) = K_2\left(H_{n,p}(j,i)\right) = \frac{1}{p}\mathbf{E}\{a(j,i)^2 d_{n,p}(j,i)^2\} = \frac{v^2}{n}(1+\delta_{ji}).$$

- If $i < j$, then using equality $H(j,i) = H(i,j)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,j)H(i,j)\} &= K_2(i,j)\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\partial G(i,j)}{\partial H(i,j)}\right\} + \epsilon_{ij}^{(2)} \\ &= -\frac{v^2}{n}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,j)^2 + G(i,i)G(j,j)\} + \epsilon_{ij}^{(2)}, \end{aligned}\tag{2.32}$$

where $\epsilon_{ij}^{(2)}$ is given by (2.31) with D_{ji} replaced by D_{ij}.

- If $j = i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)H(i,i)\} &= K_2\left(H(i,i)\right)\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\partial G(i,i)}{\partial H(i,i)}\right\} + \epsilon_{ii}^{(3)} \\ &= -\frac{2v^2}{n}\mathbf{E}\{G(i,i)^2\} + \epsilon_{ii}^{(3)}, \end{aligned}\tag{2.33}$$

where $\epsilon_{ii}^{(3)}$ is given by (2.31) with D_{ji} replaced by D_{ii}.

Substituting (2.30), (2.32) and (2.33) into (2.29), we obtain equality

$$\mathbf{E}\{g\} = \xi + \frac{\xi v^2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G(i,j)^2 + G(i,i)G(j,j)\} + \epsilon \tag{2.34}$$

with

$$\epsilon = -\frac{\xi}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{j<i} \epsilon_{ji}^{(1)} + \sum_{i<j} \epsilon_{ij}^{(2)} + \epsilon_{ii}^{(2)} \right].$$

It is not hard to see that the terms $\epsilon_{ji}^{(l)}$, $l = 1,2,3$, $z \in \Lambda_v$ (2.4) are bounded by the same variable $2\mu_3(|\mathrm{Im}\, z|^3 n\sqrt{p})^{-1}$. We present the detailed computations in Section 3. Then we can rewrite (2.34) in the form

$$\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z)\} = \xi + \xi v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}^2(z)\} + \psi_{n,p}(z),$$

where $\psi_{n,p}$ vanishes as $n,p \to \infty$ for all $z \in \Lambda_v$.

Assuming that the average $\mathbf{E}\{(g_{n,p}(z))^2\}$ factorizes (see (2.8)), we obtain equality

$$\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z)\} = \xi + \xi v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z)\}^2 + \tilde{\psi}_{n,p}(z),$$

where $\lim_{n,p\to\infty} \tilde{\psi}_{n,p}(z) = 0$. Then one can conclude that $\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z)\})$ converges to the solution of the following equation (cf. (2.6) and (2.7)) :

$$w(z) = \xi + \xi v^2 w(z)^2.$$

2.2.4 The leading terms of resolvent covariance

In this subsection we present the scheme of the computation of the leading terms of $C_{n,p}(z_1,z_2)$ (2.11). Let us denote $g_l = g_{n,p}(z_l)$, $l = 1,2$. Given a random variable, we consider its centered counterpart, $f^0 = f - \mathbf{E}f$. Using identity

$$\mathbf{E}\{f^0 g^0\} = \mathbf{E}\{f^0 g\}, \tag{2.35}$$

we rewrite $C_{12} = C_{n,p}(z_1,z_2)$ as

$$C_{12} = \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 g_2\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,i)\}.$$

Applying the resolvent identity (2.27) to $G_2(i,i) = G_{n,p}(i,j;z_2)$, we obtain equality

$$C_{12} = -\frac{\xi_2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j) H(j,i)\}. \tag{2.36}$$

To compute $\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j) H(j,i)\}$, we use again (2.17) and get relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j) H(j,i)\} = K_2 \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{\partial \left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j)\right)}{\partial H(j,i)} \right\} + \frac{K_4}{6} \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{\partial^3 \left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j)\right)}{\partial H(j,i)^3} \right\} + \tau_{ij}$$

where K_r is the r-th cumulant of $H(j,i)$ and τ_{ij} vanishes. Using twice (2.28), we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j)\}}{\partial H(j,i)} = g_1^0 \frac{\partial G_2(i,j)}{\partial H(j,i)} + G_2(i,j)\frac{1}{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\frac{\partial G_1(s,s)}{\partial H(j,i)}$$

$$= -g_1^0[G_2(i,j)^2 + G_2(i,i)G_2(j,i)] - \frac{2}{n}G_1^2(i,j)G_2(i,j). \qquad (2.37)$$

Then we get equality

$$C_{12} = \xi_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 g_2^2\} + \frac{\xi_2 v^2}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j)^2\} + \frac{2\xi_2 v^2}{n^3}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,j)G_2(i,j)\}$$

$$-\frac{\xi_2}{6n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\partial^3\left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j)\right)}{\partial H(j,i)^3}\right\} + \Phi_{n,p}(z_1,z_2), \qquad (2.38)$$

where $\Phi_{n,p}(z_1,z_2)$, $z_l \in \Lambda$ can be shown to vanish in the limit $n,p \to \infty$.

Regarding the right-hand side of (2.38), we apply to the third term the resolvent identity (2.25)

$$G_1 G_2 = \frac{G_1 - G_2}{z_1 - z_2}$$

as follows ;

$$TrG_1^2 G_2 = TrG_1\frac{G_1 - G_2}{z_1 - z_2} = \frac{TrG_1^2}{z_1 - z_2} - \frac{TrG_1 - TrG_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}. \qquad (2.39)$$

Using identity (2.35) that gives equality

$$\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 g_2^2\} = 2\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 g_2\}\mathbf{E}\{g_2\} + \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 (g_2^0)^2\} \qquad (2.40)$$

and taking into account (2.39), we rewrite (2.38) in the form

$$C_{12} = 2\xi_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_2\}C_{12} + \frac{2\xi_2 v^2}{n^2}\left[\frac{1}{n}\frac{\mathbf{E}\{TrG_1^2\}}{z_1 - z_2} - \frac{\mathbf{E}\{g_1\} - \mathbf{E}\{g_2\}}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}\right]$$

$$-\frac{\xi_2}{6n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\partial^3\left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j)\right)}{\partial H(j,i)^3}\right\} + \tilde{\Phi}_{n,p}(z_1,z_2). \qquad (2.41)$$

Elementary transformations of the terms in brackets based on convergence (2.7) and equality (2.6) allows one to recognize the terms $S(z_1,z_2)$ and $T(z_1,z_2)$ of (2.12) and (2.13) that arise from the second and the third terms of the the right-hand side of (2.41).

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let us introduce a family of independent real random variables $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{n,p} = \{\hat{a}_p(i,j) : \ 1 \le i \le j \le n\}$ defined by

$$\hat{a}_p(i,j) = a(i,j)\mathbf{I}_{\{|a(i,j)|\le\sqrt{p}\}} = \begin{cases} a(i,j) & \text{if} \quad |a(i,j)| \le \sqrt{p} \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |a(i,j)| > \sqrt{p}, \quad 0 < p \le n, \end{cases}$$

where $\{a(i,j)\}$ verify conditions of Theorem 2.1. We define a real symmetric $n \times n$ random matrix $\hat{H}_{n,p}$ by equality :

$$\hat{H}_{n,p}(i,j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \hat{a}_p(i,j) d_{n,p}(i,j), \quad 1 \le i \le j \le n$$

and consider the resolvent $\hat{G}_{n,p}(z) = (\hat{H}_{n,p} - z)^{-1}, \quad \mathrm{Im}\, z \neq 0$.

Regarding $\hat{g}_{n,p}(z) = n^{-1}\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \hat{G}_{n,p}(z)$, we prove in subsection 3.1 that

$$\lim_{n,p\to\infty} \mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_{n,p}(z)\} = w(z) \qquad z \in \Lambda_v \tag{3.1}$$

provided that the variance of $\hat{g}_{n,p}$ vanishes ;

$$\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_{n,p}(z)\} = o(1), \quad z \in \Lambda_v, \quad \text{as } n,p \to \infty, \quad z \in \Lambda_v. \tag{3.2}$$

We prove (3.2) in subsection 3.2.

At the end of this section we show that

$$\lim_{n,p\to\infty} \mathbf{E}|\hat{g}_{n,p}(z) - g_{n,p}(z)| = 0, \quad \text{as } n,p \to \infty, \quad z \in \Lambda_v, \tag{3.3}$$

where $g_{n,p}(z)$ is determined by (2.3). Then relations (2.7) and (2.8) follow from (3.1) and (3.2) and Theorem 2.1 is proved.

The proofs of relations (3.1) and (3.2) represent the main subject of this section. Let us start to perform this program. The last general remark is that in what follows, we will use many times the following two elementary inequalities

$$|G(i,j)| \le ||G|| \le \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Im}\, z|}, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |G(i,j)|^2 = ||G\vec{e}_i||^2 \le \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Im}\, z|^2}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3.5}$$

that hold for the resolvent of any real symmetric matrix. Here and below we consider $||e||_2^2 = \sum_i |e(i)|^2$ and denote by $||G|| = \sup_{||e||_2=1} ||Ge||_2$ the corresponding operator norm.

3.1 Main relation for $\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_{n,p}(z)\}$

Regarding (2.27) with $h = \hat{H}_{n,p}$, we can write that

$$\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_{n,p}(z)\} = \xi - \frac{\xi}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}_{n,p}(i,j)\hat{H}_{n,p}(j,i)\}. \tag{3.6}$$

To compute $\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}_{n,p}(i,j)\hat{H}_{n,p}(j,i)\}$, we use formula (2.17) with $q = 1$ and equality (2.28) (everywhere below, we omit the subscripts n,p when no confusion can arise). Then we get relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}(i,j)\hat{H}(j,i)\} = \hat{K}_1(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}(i,j)\} + \hat{K}_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\left\{D_{ji}\hat{G}(i,j)\right\} + \hat{\epsilon}_{ji} \tag{3.7}$$

with

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{ji} = -\hat{K}_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\left\{\hat{H}(j,i)\left[D^2_{ji}\hat{G}(i,j)\right]^{(2)}\right\} - \frac{\hat{K}_1(j,i)}{2}\mathbf{E}\left\{\hat{H}(j,i)^2\left[D^2_{ji}\hat{G}(i,j)\right]^{(1)}\right\}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\left\{\hat{H}(j,i)^3\left[D^2_{ji}\hat{G}(i,j)\right]^{(0)}\right\},$$

where we have denoted $D^r_{ji} = \partial^r/\partial H(j,i)^r$ and $\hat{K}_r(j,i) = Cum_r\left(\hat{H}_{n,p}(j,i)\right)$.

Substituting (3.7) into (3.6) and taking into account formula (2.28), we obtain equality

$$\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}\} = \xi + \frac{\xi v^2}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}(i,j)^2 + \hat{G}(i,i)\hat{G}(j,j)\} + R, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$R = -\frac{\xi}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{K}_1(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}(i,j)\}$$

$$+\frac{\xi}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}[\hat{V}_2(j,i) - v^2]\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}(i,j)^2 + \hat{G}(i,i)\hat{G}(j,j)\} - \frac{\xi}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{\epsilon}_{ji} \tag{3.9}$$

with $\hat{V}_2(j,i) = nK_2(j,i)/(1+\delta_{ji})$.

Now we can rewrite (3.8) in the form

$$\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}\} = \xi + \xi v^2\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}\}^2 + R + \phi_1 + \phi_2, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\phi_1 = \frac{\xi v^2}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}(i,j)^2\} \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\phi_2 = \xi v^2\left(\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}^2\} - \mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}\}^2\right). \tag{3.12}$$

Let us show that the terms R and ϕ_l, $l = 1,2$, vanish in the limit $n, p \to \infty$.

We start with R. Regarding the first term of the right-hand side of (3.9) and using (3.4), one obtains

$$\left|\frac{\xi}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{K}_1(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}(i,j)\}\right|$$

$$\le \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\eta n^2}\mathbf{E}\left\{|a(j,i)|\mathbf{I}_{\{|a(j,i)|>\sqrt{p}\}}\frac{|a(j,i)|^{1+\rho}}{\sqrt{p}^{1+\rho}}\right\} \le \frac{\mu_{2+\rho}}{\eta^2 p^{\rho/2}}. \tag{3.13}$$

To estimate the second term of the right-hand side of (3.9), we use (3.4), and inequality

$$|\hat{V}_2(j,i) - v^2| \le |\mathbf{E}\{\hat{a}(j,i)^2\} - \mathbf{E}\{a(j,i)^2\}|$$

$$\le \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{|a(j,i)|^{2+\rho}}{p^{\rho/2}}\mathbf{I}_{\{|a(j,i)|>\sqrt{p}\}}\right\} \le \frac{\mu_{2+\rho}}{p^{\rho/2}}. \tag{3.14}$$

Regarding the third term of the right-hand side of (3.9) and taking into account equality

$$D_{ji}^2\hat{G}(i,j) = \frac{1}{(1+\delta_{ji})^2}[2\hat{G}(i,j)^3 + 6\hat{G}(i,i)\hat{G}(j,j)\hat{G}(i,j)], \tag{3.15}$$

and estimate (3.4), we conclude that $|D_{ji}^2\hat{G}(i,j)| \le 8|\mathrm{Im}\, z|^{-3}$ and that

$$|\frac{\xi}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{\epsilon}_{ji}| \le 4\frac{|\xi|}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\left(\sup_{i,j}|D_{ji}^2\hat{G}(i,j)|\right)\mathbf{E}\{|\hat{H}(j,i)|^3\} \le \frac{24\mu_{2+\rho}}{\eta^4 p^{\rho/2}} \tag{3.16}$$

Now gathering relations given by (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16), we get the following bound for R :

$$|R| = O\left(\frac{1}{p^{\rho/2}}\right), \ as \quad n,p \to \infty. \tag{3.17}$$

Inequality (3.5) implies that

$$|\phi_1| \le \frac{v^2}{\eta^3 n}, \quad \eta = 2v+1. \tag{3.18}$$

To estimate ϕ_2 (3.12), we use the elementary inequality $|\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}^2\} - \mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}\}^2| \le \mathbf{Var}(\hat{g}_{n,p}(z))$ and relation (3.2) that we prove in the next subsection.

Relations (3.2), (3.17) and (3.18) show that

$$|R + \phi_1 + \phi_2| = o(1), \ as \quad n,p \to \infty. \tag{3.19}$$

Then equality (3.10) and estimate (3.19) imply that $\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_{n,p}(z)\} \to w(z)$, $z \in \Lambda_v$, where $w(z)$ is the solution of equation

$$w(z) = \xi + \xi v^2 w(z)^2,$$

such that $\mathrm{Im}\, w(z) \cdot \mathrm{Im}\, z > 0$, $\mathrm{Im}\, z \ne 0$. This proves convergence in average (3.6).

3.2 Estimate of $\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_{n,p}(z)\}$

Let us denote $\hat{g}_l = n^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\hat{G}_{n,p}(z_l)$, $l = 1,2$. Then we can write relations (c.f. (2.36))

$$\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{g}_2^0\} = \mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{g}_2\} = -\frac{\xi_2}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)\hat{H}(j,i)\}.$$

For each pair (i,j), $\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)$ is a smooth function of $\hat{H}(j,i)$. Its derivatives are bounded because of equation (2.28) and (3.4). In particular,

$$|D_{ji}^2\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)\}| \le C\left(|\mathrm{Im}\, z_1|^{-1} + |\mathrm{Im}\, z_2|^{-1}\right)^4,$$

where C is an absolute constant.

According to the definition of $\hat{H}$ and the condition $\mu^{2+\rho} < \infty$ of theorem, the third absolute moment of $\hat{H}(j,i)$ is of order $1/(p^{\rho/2}n)$. Then we can apply (2.17) with $q=1$ to $\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)\hat{H}(j,i)\}$ and get relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{g}_2\} = -\frac{\xi_2}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{K}_1(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)\}$$

$$-\frac{\xi_2}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{K}_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\left\{D_{ji}^1\left(\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)\right)\right\} - \frac{\xi_2}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{\epsilon}_{ji}^{'}, \qquad (3.20)$$

where $\hat{K}_r$ is the r-th cumulant of $\hat{H}(i,j)$ and

$$|\hat{\epsilon}_{ji}^{'}| \le 4C\left(|\mathrm{Im}\, z_1|^{-1} + |\mathrm{Im}\, z_2|^{-1}\right)^4 \mathbf{E}|\hat{H}(j,i)|^3 \le \frac{64C\mu_{2+\rho}}{\eta^4 np^{\rho/2}}. \qquad (3.21)$$

Using expression (2.37) and identity (2.40), we rewrite (3.20) in the form

$$\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{g}_2\} = 2\xi_2 v^2\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{g}_2\}\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_2\} + \xi_2 v^2\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0(\hat{g}_2^0)^2\}$$

$$+\frac{\xi_2}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}V_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)^2\} + R_{12},$$

where

$$R_{12} = -\frac{\xi_2}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{K}_1(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)\} + \frac{\xi_2}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}[V_2(j,i) - v^2]\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,i)\hat{G}_2(j,j)\}$$

$$\frac{2\xi_2}{n^3}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}V_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{G}_1^2(i,j)\hat{G}_2(i,j)\} - \frac{\xi_2}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{\epsilon}_{ij}^{(1)}. \qquad (3.22)$$

Introducing the auxiliary variable

$$\hat{q}_2 = \frac{\xi}{1 - 2\xi v^2\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_2\}}, \qquad (3.23)$$

we can write the following relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{g}_2\} = \hat{q}_2 v^2\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0(\hat{g}_2^0)^2\} + \frac{\hat{q}_2}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}V_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)^2\} + \frac{\hat{q}_2}{\xi_2}R_{12}. \qquad (3.24)$$

It is easy to see that

$$|\hat{q}_2| \le \frac{2}{|Imz_2|} \quad z_2 \in \Lambda_v. \qquad (3.25)$$

Then

$$|\hat{q}_2 v^2\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0(\hat{g}_2^0)^2\} + \frac{\hat{q}_2}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}V_2(j,i)\mathbf{E}\{\hat{g}_1^0\hat{G}_2(i,j)^2\}|$$

$$\le \frac{4v^2}{\eta^2}\left(\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_1\}\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_2\}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{2\mu_{2+\rho}}{n\eta^3}\left(\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}_1\}\right)^{1/2}. \qquad (3.26)$$

To estimate R_{12} (3.22), we use inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|\hat{G}_1^b(i,j)\hat{G}_2(i,j)| \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\hat{G}_1^b(i,j)|^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\hat{G}_2(i,j)|^2\right)^{1/2} \le \frac{1}{\eta^{b+1}} \qquad (3.27)$$

with $b = 2$. Then computations similar to those of subsection 3.1 imply that

$$|\frac{\hat{q}_2}{\xi_2} R_{12}| \leq C \left[\frac{1}{p^{\rho/2}} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right] \tag{3.28}$$

where C is a constant.

Considering (3.24) with $z = z_1 = \bar{z}_2$ and using (3.26) and (3.28), we get inequality

$$\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}\} \leq \frac{2v^2}{\eta^2} \mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}\} + \frac{4v^2}{n\eta^3} \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{\hat{g}\}} + C \left[\frac{1}{p^{\rho/2}} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right].$$

Then (3.2) follows.

Let us prove (3.3). Using the resolvent identity (2.26), we can write that

$$\hat{g}_{n,p}(z) - g_{n,p}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,s,t=1}^{n} \hat{G}(i,s)[H - \hat{H}](s,t)G(t,i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s,t=1}^{n} (G\hat{G})(s,t)[H - \hat{H}](s,t)$$

This relation together with (3.4) imply that

$$\mathbf{E}|\hat{g}_{n,p}(z) - g_{n,p}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\eta^2 n \sqrt{p}} \sum_{s,t=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}|a(s,t) - \hat{a}(s,t)| \mathbf{E}|d(s,t)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\eta^2 n \sqrt{p}} \sum_{s,t=1}^{n} \frac{p}{n} \mathbf{E} \left\{ |a(s,t)| \mathbf{I}_{\{|a(s,t)| > \sqrt{p}\}} \cdot \frac{|a(s,t)|^{1+\rho}}{\sqrt{p}^{1+\rho}} \right\} \leq \frac{\mu_{2+\rho}}{\eta^2 p^{\rho/2}}.$$

Then relation (3.3) follows.

4 Correlation Function of the Resolvent

In this section we give the computations that represent the principal part of the proof of Theorem 2.2. The auxiliary technical results will be proved in the next section.

4.1 The scheme of the proof of Theorem 2.2

Let us consider (2.36) and apply (2.17) to $\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j) H(j,i)\}$ with $q = 5$. Taking into account (2.9), we get relation

$$C_{12} = -\frac{\xi_2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\left\{ D_{ji}^1 \left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j) \right) \right\} - \frac{\xi_2}{6n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{ D_{ji}^3 \left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j) \right) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{\xi_2}{120n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K_6 \mathbf{E}\left\{ D_{ji}^5 \left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j) \right) \right\} + \tau, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{\xi_2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{K_6}{5!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ H(j,i) D_{pi}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(3)} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{\xi_2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{K_4}{(3!)^2} \mathbf{E} \left\{ H(j,i)^3 D_{ji}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(2)} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{\xi_2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{K_2}{5!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ H(j,i)^5 D_{ji}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(1)} \right\}$$

$$- \frac{\xi_2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{6!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ H(j,i)^7 D_{ji}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(0)} \right\}. \qquad (4.2)$$

Let us note that

$$K_2 = \frac{v^2}{n}(1+\delta_{ji}), \quad K_4 = \left(\frac{V_4}{np} - \frac{3v^4}{n^2} \right) (1+\delta_{ji})^2 = \frac{\Delta}{np}(1+\delta_{ji})^2 \qquad (4.3)$$

with

$$\Delta = V_4 - 3v^4 \frac{p}{n}$$

and

$$K_6 = \left(\frac{V_6}{np^2} - \frac{15 V_4 v^2}{n^2 p} + \frac{30 v^6}{n^3} \right) (1+\delta_{ji})^3 = \frac{\sigma}{np^2}(1+\delta_{ji})^3 \qquad (4.4)$$

with $\sigma = V_6 - 15 V_4 v^2 p n^{-1} + 30 v^6 p^2 n^{-2}$. In (4.2), we have denoted for each pair (j,i)

$$[g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(\nu)} = \{g^{(\nu)}\}_{ji}^0(z_1) G_{ji}^{(\nu)}(i,j;z_2), \quad \nu = 0,\dots,3$$

and $G_{ji}^{(\nu)}(z_l) = (H_{ji}^{(\nu)} - z_l)^{-1}$, $l = 1,2$ with real symmetric

$$H_{ji}^{(\nu)}(r,s) = \begin{cases} H(r,s) & \text{if} \quad (r,s) \neq (j,i) \\ H^{(\nu)}(j,i) & \text{if} \quad (r,s) = (j,i) \end{cases}$$

where $|H^{(\nu)}(j,i)| \leq |H(j,i)|$, $\nu = 0,\dots,3$.

Regarding the first term of the right-hand side of (4.1), we can use (2.37). Taking into account (4.3), we write that

$$-\frac{\xi_2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\left\{ D_{ji}^1 \left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j) \right) \right\} = \xi_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 g_2^2\} + \frac{\xi_2 v^2}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j)^2\}$$

$$+ \frac{2\xi_2 v^2}{n^3} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,j) G_2(i,j)\}.$$

Using this equality and relations (2.39), (2.40) and (4.4), and computing the partial derivatives with the help of (2.28), we get the following relation

$$C_{12} = 2\xi_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_2\} C_{12} + \frac{2\xi_2 v^2}{n^2}\left[-\frac{\mathbf{E}\{g_1\} - \mathbf{E}\{g_2\}}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \frac{1}{n}\frac{\mathbf{E}\{\operatorname{Tr} G_1^2\}}{z_1 - z_2}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{2\xi_2\Delta}{n^3 p}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_1(j,j)G_2(i,i)G_2(j,j)\} + \sum_{r=1}^{8} Y_r + \Upsilon + \tau \qquad (4.5)$$

with

$$Y_1 = \xi_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 (g_2^0)^2\},$$

$$Y_2 = \frac{\xi_2 v^2}{n}\mathbf{E}\left\{g_1^0 \ \frac{1}{n}\ \operatorname{Tr} G_2^2\right\},$$

$$Y_3 = \frac{\xi_2\Delta}{p}\mathbf{E}\left\{g_1^0\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_2(i,i)^2\right)^2\right\},$$

$$Y_4 = \frac{\xi_2\Delta}{n^2 p}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j)^4\} + 6\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j)^2 G_2(i,i)G_2(j,j)\}\right),$$

$$Y_5 = \frac{2\xi_2\Delta}{n^3 p}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,j)G_2(i,j)^3 + 3G_1^2(i,j)G_2(i,j)G_2(i,i)G_2(j,j)\},$$

$$Y_6 = \frac{2\xi_2\Delta}{n^3 p}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,j)G_1(i,j)G_2(i,j)^2 + G_1^2(i,j)G_1(i,j)G_2(i,i)G_2(j,j)\}$$

$$+\frac{2\xi_2\Delta}{n^3 p}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_1(j,j)G_2(i,j)^2\},$$

$$Y_7 = \frac{2\xi_2\Delta}{n^3 p}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,j)G_1(i,j)^2 G_2(i,j) + G_1^2(i,i)G_1(j,j)G_1(i,j)G_2(i,j)\}$$

$$+\frac{2\xi_2\Delta}{n^3 p}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,j)G_1(j,j)G_1(i,i)G_2(i,j)\},$$

$$Y_8 = -\frac{3\xi_2\Delta}{n^2 p}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,i)^4\} + \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_2(i,i)^3\}\right)$$

$$-\frac{3\xi_2\Delta}{n^2 p}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_1(i,i)^2 G_2(i,i)\} + \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_1(i,i)G_2(i,i)^2\}\right)$$

and

$$\Upsilon = -\frac{\xi_2}{120n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\frac{\sigma(1+\delta_{ji})^3}{np^2}\mathbf{E}\left\{D_{ji}^5\left(g_1^0 G_2(i,j)\right)\right\},$$

where τ is given by (4.2).

Let us discuss the structure of relation (4.5). We see that the first term of the right-hand side of (4.5) is expressed in terms of C_{12}. This will finally give a closed relation for C_{12}. The second and third terms of the right-hand side of (4.5) give a non-zero contribution to C_{12} that provides the expressions of the leading terms $S(z_1, z_2)$ (2.12) and $T(z_1, z_2)$ (2.13). We compute this contribution in subsection 4.3.

The three last terms of (4.5) contribute as the terms of the order $o(n^{-2})$ in the limit (2.10). The following two statements give the detailed account on these vanishing terms.

Lemma 4.1 *Under conditions of Theorem 2.2, the estimates*

$$|Y_1| = O\left(\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}[p^{-2} + \mathbf{Var}(g_2)]\right), \tag{4.6}$$

$$|Y_2| = o\left(p^{-2}n^{-1} + p^{-2}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2} + p^{-1}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_2)\}^{1/2}\right) \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$|Y_3| = O\left(p^{-2}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2} + p^{-1}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_2)\}^{1/2}\right) \tag{4.8}$$

are true in the limit $n, p \to \infty$.

We postpone the proof of Lemma 4.1 to the next section.

Lemma 4.2 *Under conditions of Theorem 2.2, the estimates*

$$\max_{r=4,\dots,8} |Y_r| = O\left(n^{-1}p^{-1}[n^{-1} + \{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}]\right) \tag{4.9}$$

$$|\Upsilon| = O\left(p^{-2}[n^{-1} + \{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}]\right) \tag{4.10}$$

$$|\tau| = o\left(n^{-1}p^{-1}[n^{-1} + \{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}]\right) \tag{4.11}$$

are true in the limit $n, p \to \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. We start with (4.9). Inequality (3.4) and (3.5) imply that if $z_l \in \Lambda_v$, then

$$|Y_4| \le \frac{7\Delta}{\eta^3 n^2 p} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}|g_1^0 G_2(i,j)^2| = O\left(\frac{1}{np}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}\right).$$

Inequality (3.4) and (3.27) with $b = 2$ imply inequality $|Y_5| \le 8c\Delta/(\eta^3 n^2 p)$. Using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.27) with $b = 1$, we obtain that the terms Y_6, Y_7 and Y_8 are all of the order indicated in (4.9).

Regarding (4.10), it is not hard to see that this result follows from the estimate

$$\mathbf{E}|D^5_{ji}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,j)\}| = O\left(n^{-1} + \{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}\right) \tag{4.12}$$

in the limit $n, p \to \infty$ (2.10). Let us prove (4.12). Using (2.28) and (3.4), we get relation

$$D_{ji}\{g_1^0\} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n} D_{ji}\{G_1(t,t)\} = -\frac{2}{n}G_1^2(i,j) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

for all $z_1 \in \Lambda_v$. It is easy to show that

$$D_{ji}^r\{g_1^0\} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots,\ z \in \Lambda_v. \tag{4.13}$$

Then (4.12) follows from (4.13) and (3.4). Estimate (4.10) is proved.

To proceed with estimates of τ (4.2), then we use the following simple statement.

Lemma 4.3 *[1] If $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, $l = 1, 2$, under condition of Theorem 2.2, the estimates*

$$\mathbf{Var}([g_{n,p}(z_l)]^{(\nu)}) = O\left(\mathbf{Var}(g_{n,p}(z_l)) + p^{-1}n^{-2}\right), \quad \nu = 0, \ldots, 3 \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$D_{ji}^6\left([g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(\nu)}\right) = O\left(n^{-1}|G_2^{(\nu)}(i,j)| + |[g_1^0]^{(\nu)} G_2^{(\nu)}(i,j)|\right),\ \nu = 0, \ldots, 3 \tag{4.15}$$

are true in the limit $n, b \longrightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 4.3 is proved in [1]. We do not present the details here.

Regarding the first term of the right-hand side of (4.2) and using (4.14) and (4.15), we obtain inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^n K_6 \mathbf{E}|H(j,i) D_{ji}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(3)}| \le \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{8\sigma c}{np^2}\left(\frac{\hat{\mu}_1 p^{1/2}}{n^2} + \frac{\hat{\mu}_2^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}}\left(\mathbf{Var}([g_1]^{(3)})\right)^{1/2}\right)$$

$$= o\left(\frac{1}{np^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}\left(\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\right)^{1/2}\right), \tag{4.16}$$

where c is a constant. Repeating previous computations of (4.16), we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n K_4 \mathbf{E}|H(j,i)^3 D_{ji}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(2)}| + \sum_{j=1}^n K_2 \mathbf{E}|H(j,i)^5 D_{ji}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(1)}|$$

$$= o\left(\frac{1}{np^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}\left(\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\right)^{1/2}\right). \tag{4.17}$$

Now, regarding the last term of (4.2) and using (4.15), we obtain inequality

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbf{E}|H(j,i)^7 D_{ji}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(0)}|$$

$$\le \frac{c_1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\mathbf{E}\frac{|H(j,i)|^7}{n} + \mathbf{E}|H(j,i)^7 [g_1^0]^{(0)}||G_2^{(0)}(i,j)|\right), \tag{4.18}$$

where c_1 is a constant. Regarding the last term of(4.18) and using (3.5) and (4.14), we get

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|H(j,i)|^{7}|[g_1^0]^{(0)}||G_2^{(0)}(i,j)| \le \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}|H(i,j)|^{14}}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}|[g^0]^{(0)}|^2|G_2^{(0)}(i,j)|^2}$$

$$\le \frac{\hat{\mu}_{14}^{1/2}}{p^3 n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}|[g^0]^{(0)}||G_2^{(0)}(t,s)|^2} \le \frac{\hat{\mu}_{14}^{1/2}}{p^3 n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|[g^0]^{(0)}||G_2^{(0)}(t,s)|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le c_2\frac{\hat{\mu}_{14}^{1/2}}{\eta p^3}\left(\mathbf{Var}([g_1]^{(0)})\right)^{1/2} \le \frac{\hat{\mu}_{14}^{1/2}}{\eta p^3}[(\mathbf{Var}(g_1))^{1/2} + \frac{1}{p^{1/2}n}],$$

where c_2 is a constant. Using this estimate and we rewrite (4.18) in the form

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|H(j,i)^{7}D_{ji}^{6}[g_1^0 G_2(i,j)]^{(0)}| \le \frac{c_2\hat{\mu}_7}{np^{5/2}} + c_1c_2\frac{\hat{\mu}_{14}^{1/2}}{\eta p^3}\left((\mathbf{Var}(g_1))^{1/2} + \frac{1}{p^{1/2}n}\right)$$

$$= o\left(\frac{1}{np^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}\left(\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\right)^{1/2}\right), \tag{4.19}$$

where c is a constant. Then (4.11) follows from the estimates given by relations (4.19), (4.16) and (4.17). Lemma 4.2 is proved.

4.2 Estimate of the variance

Using the definition of q_2 (3.23), we rewrite (4.5) in the form

$$C_{12} = \frac{2v^2}{n^2}S_{n,p} + 2\left(\frac{V_4}{np} - \frac{3v^4}{n^2}\right)T_{n,p} + \frac{q_2}{\xi_2}\sum_{r=1}^{8}Y_r + \Upsilon + \tau, \tag{4.20}$$

where

$$S_{n,p} = q_2\left[-\frac{\mathbf{E}\{g_1\} - \mathbf{E}\{g_2\}}{(z_1-z_2)^2} + \frac{1}{n}\frac{\mathbf{E}\{TrG_1^2\}}{z_1-z_2}\right] \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$T_{n,p} = \frac{q_2}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_1(j,j)G_2(i,i)G_2(j,j)\}. \tag{4.22}$$

Using inequality (3.4) and (3.25), we obtain that

$$\left|2\left(\frac{V_4}{np} - \frac{3v^4}{n^2}\right)T_{n,p}(z_1,z_2)\right| \le \frac{4V_4}{\eta^6 np} + \frac{12v^4}{\eta^6 n^2}, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda_\eta. \tag{4.23}$$

Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 together with (3.25) imply that

$$\left|\frac{q_2}{\xi_2}\sum_{r=1}^{8}Y_r + \Upsilon + \tau\right| \le c\left(\frac{1}{np^2} + \frac{1}{n^2p} + \left[\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{np}\right]\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}\right)$$

$$+ c\left(\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}\mathbf{Var}(g_2) + \frac{1}{p}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_1)\}^{1/2}\{\mathbf{Var}(g_2)\}^{1/2}\right), \tag{4.24}$$

where c is a constant. Using this inequality and relation (2.10), (3.27) and (4.23), we derive form (4.20) the following estimate

$$\mathbf{Var}(g_{n,p}(z)) \le \frac{A}{p^2}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g_{n,p}(z))} + \frac{B}{np}$$

with A and B that depend on z only. Since $\mathbf{Var}(g_{n,p}(z))$ is bounded for all $z \in \Lambda_v$, then we conclude that

$$Var(g_{n,p}(z)) = O\left(\frac{1}{np}\right). \tag{4.25}$$

Substituting this estimate into (4.24), we obtain that

$$\left|\frac{q_2}{\zeta_2}\sum_{r=1}^{8} Y_r + \Upsilon + \tau\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{p^2\sqrt{np}}\right).$$

This fact together with the restriction (2.10) implies that

$$\frac{1}{p^2\sqrt{np}} \ll \frac{1}{n^2}$$

and that the estimate

$$\left|\frac{q_2}{\zeta_2}\sum_{r=1}^{8} Y_r + \Upsilon + \tau\right| = o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \tag{4.26}$$

holds. This proves (2.11).

4.3 Leading terms of correlation function

To obtain the explicit expression for the leading term of $C_{n,p}(z_1, z_2)$, it is necessary to study in detail the variables $S_{n,p}$ and $T_{n,p}$. Let us formulate the corresponding statements.

Lemma 4.4 *If* $z_l \in \Lambda_v$, $l = 1, 2$, *then under conditions of Theorem 2.2, the estimates*

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}\, Tr\, G_l^2 = \frac{w_l^2}{1 - v^2 w_l^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{4.27}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_1(j,j)G_2(i,i)G_2(j,j)\} = \frac{w_1^3 w_2^2}{1 - v^2 w_1^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{4.28}$$

hold in the limit $n, p \to \infty$ *(2.10).*

Proof of Lemma 4.4. We start with (4.27) and introduce the variable

$$M(z) = M_{n,p}(z) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} G(i,j)^2.$$

Applying identity (2.27) to $G(i,j)$ and using formula (2.17) with $q=3$, we get relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{M(z)\} = \xi\mathbf{E}\{g(z)\} + 2\xi v^2\mathbf{E}\{M(z)g(z)\} + \frac{2\xi v^2}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} G^3(i,i) + \gamma_0$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0 = &-\frac{\zeta}{6n}\sum_{j,s=1}^{n} K_4\mathbf{E}\left\{D_{sj}^3(G^2(j,s))\right\}\\ &-\frac{\zeta}{n4!}\sum_{j,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\left\{H(s,j)^5\left[D_{sj}^4(G^2(j,s))\right]^{(0)}\right\}\\ &+\frac{\zeta}{n3!}\sum_{j,s=1}^{n} K_2\mathbf{E}\left\{H(s,j)^3\left[D_{sj}^4(G^2(j,s))\right]^{(1)}\right\}\\ &+\frac{\zeta}{n3!}\sum_{j,s=1}^{n} K_4\mathbf{E}\left\{H(s,j)\left[D_{sj}^4(G^2(j,s))\right]^{(2)}\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where K_r are the cumulants of $H(s,j)$ (4.3). Using identity (c.f. (2.35))

$$\mathbf{E}\{fg\} = \mathbf{E}\{fg^0\} + \mathbf{E}\{f\}\mathbf{E}\{g\}, \tag{4.30}$$

we obtain the following relation for $\mathbf{E}\{M(z)\}$:

$$\mathbf{E}\{M(z)\} = \xi\mathbf{E}\{g(z)\} + 2\xi v^2\mathbf{E}\{M(z)\}\mathbf{E}\{g(z)\} + \gamma_0 + \gamma_1, \tag{4.31}$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = 2\xi v^2\mathbf{E}\{M(z)g^0(z)\} + \frac{2\xi v^2}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} G^3(i,i).$$

Relations (3.4) and (4.25) imply the estimate

$$|\gamma_1| \le \frac{2v^2}{\eta^3}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{np}} + \frac{1}{n\eta}\right). \tag{4.32}$$

Regarding relation (4.29) and using (2.28) and (3.4), one obtains that

$$\max_{r=3,4}\left(\sup_{s,j}|D_{sj}^r G^2(s,j)|\right) \le c_5$$

and that

$$|\gamma_0| \le \frac{c_5 n K_4}{6\eta} + \frac{|\zeta|}{n}\sum_{j,s=1}^{n}\sup_{s,j}|D_{sj}^4 G^2(s,j)|\mathbf{E}\{|H(s,j)|^5\} \le \frac{c_5 n K_4}{6\eta} + \frac{c_5\mu_5}{2\eta p^{3/2}}, \tag{4.33}$$

where c_5 is a constant. Relations (4.32) and (4.33) imply that

$$|\gamma_0 + \gamma_1| = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \quad \text{as} \quad n, p \to \infty. \tag{4.34}$$

Using this estimate and (2.7), we derive from (4.31) relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{M(z)\} = \zeta w(z)[1 - 2\zeta v^2 w(z)]^{-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta.$$

Then (4.27) follows from this relation and equality (2.6).

Now we prove (4.28). Let us consider variable

$$L(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i) G_1(j,j) G_2(i,i) G_2(j,j)\}$$

Using (4.30), we obtain the following relation for $L(z)$

$$L(z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i) G_2(i,i)\}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(j,j) G_2(j,j)\}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i) G_2(i,i) B_{12}^0\},$$

where

$$B_{12} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(j,j) G_2(j,j)\}. \tag{4.35}$$

To proceed with the estimate of $L(z_1, z_2)$, we use the following simple statement that we prove in the next section.

Lemma 4.5 *If* $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, $l = 1, 2$, *under conditions of Theorem 5.2.2, then the estimates*

$$\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\} = O\left([p^{-1} + (\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\})^{1/2} + (\mathbf{Var}\{g_2\})^{1/2}]^2\right), \tag{4.36}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\{B_{12}\} = w_1 w_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{4.37}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i) G_2(i,i)\} = \frac{w_1^2 w_2}{1 - v^2 w_1^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{4.38}$$

hold in the limit $n, p \to \infty$ *(2.10).*

Now (4.28) follows from Lemma 4.5 and the definition of $L(z_1, z_2)$. Lemma 4.4 is proved. ■

Proof of Theorem 5.2.2. Let us complete the proof of Theorem 2.2. It is easy to see that if $z_2 \in \Lambda_\eta$, then the definition of q_2 (3.23), the convergence (2.7) and equation (2.6) imply that

$$\lim_{n,p\to\infty} q_{n,p}(z_2) = \frac{w_2}{1 - v^2 w_2^2}, \quad z_2 \in \Lambda_\eta. \tag{4.39}$$

Finally, using Lemma 4.4 and relations (2.7) and (4.39), we derive from (4.20) relations (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13). Theorem 2.2 is proved. ■

5 Proof of Auxiliary Statement

The main goal of this section is to prove Lemmas 4.1 and 4.5.

5.1 Proof of Lemma 4.1

5.1.1 Estimate of Y_1 (4.6)

Variable $Y_1 = \xi v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 (g_2^0)^2\}$ (4.5) admits the obvious bound

$$|Y_1| \le \frac{v^2}{\eta}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}|g_2^0|^4}. \tag{5.1}$$

To proceed with (5.1), we prove the following statement.

Lemma 5.1 *If $z \in \Lambda_v$, then under conditions of Theorem 2.2, the estimate*

$$\mathbf{E}|g_{n,p}^0(z)|^4 = O\left([p^{-2} + \mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,p}(z)\}]^2\right) \tag{5.2}$$

is true in the limit $n, p \to \infty$ (2.10).

Let us note that the estimate (4.6) follows from inequality (5.1) and (5.2).

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Let us consider the average

$$W = \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 g_2^0 g_3^0 g_4^0\} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbf{E} T^0 G_4(t,t)$$

with $T = g_1^0 g_2^0 g_3^0$. We apply to $G_4(t,t)$ the resolvent identity (2.26) and obtain relation

$$W = -\xi_4 \sum_{t,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{T^0 G_4(t,s) H(s,t)\}.$$

Applying (2.17) with $q = 3$ to $\mathbf{E}\{T^0 G_4(t,s)H(s,t)\}$ and taking into account (2.28), we get relation

$$\begin{aligned} W = {} & \xi_4 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{T^0 (g_4)^2\} + \xi_4 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{\frac{T^0}{n^2}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n} G_4(t,s)^2\} \\ & + \frac{2\xi_4 v^2}{n^3}\sum_{(i,j,k)} \mathbf{E}\left(g_i^0 g_j^0 \sum_{t,y,s=1}^{n} G_k(y,s) G_k(t,y) G_4(t,s)\right) \\ & - \frac{\xi_4}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n} \frac{K_4}{6}\mathbf{E}\left\{D_{st}^3\left(T^0 G_4(t,s)\right)\right\} + \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = {} & -\frac{\xi_4}{n4!}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left\{H(s,t)^5 [D_{si}^4 (T^0 G_4(t,s))]^{(0)}\right\} + \frac{\xi_4}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\left\{H(s,t)^3 [D_{si}^4 (T^0 G_4(t,s))]^{(1)}\right\} \\ & + \frac{\xi_4}{n3!}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{H(s,t) [D_{si}^4 (T^0 G_4(t,s))]^{(2)}\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where K_r are the cumulants of $H(s,t)$ as in (4.3). In (5.3), we introduce the notation

$$\sum_{(i,j,k)} F(i,j,k) = F(1,2,3) + F(1,3,2) + F(2,3,1).$$

Applying to the first term of the RHS of (5.3) relation (2.39) and using the definition of q_4 (3.23), we obtain that

$$W = q_4 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{T^0 (g_4^0)^2\} + q_4 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{\frac{T^0}{n^2} \sum_{t,s=1}^{n} G_4(t,s)^2\}$$

$$+\frac{2q_4 v^2}{n^3} \sum_{(i,j,k)} \mathbf{E}\left(g_i^0 g_j^0 \sum_{t,y,s=1}^{n} G_k(y,s) G_k(t,y) G_4(t,s)\right) + \tilde{\Omega},$$

where

$$\tilde{\Omega} = -\frac{q_4}{n} \sum_{t,s=1}^{n} \frac{K_4}{6} \mathbf{E}\left\{D_{st}^3 (T^0 G_4(t,s))\right\} + \frac{q_4}{\xi_4}\Omega. \tag{5.5}$$

Regarding $G_k(t,\cdot)$ and $G_4(t,\cdot)$ in the third term of the RHS of (**??**) as a vectors in n-dimensional space, we derive from estimate (3.5) that

$$\left|\sum_{y,s=1}^{n} G_k(y,s) G_k(t,y) G_4(t,s)\right|$$

$$\leq ||G_k|| \left(\sum_{y=1}^{n} |G_k(t,y)|^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} |G_4(t,s)|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\eta^3}. \tag{5.6}$$

Now gathering relation given by (3.4), (3.5),(3.25), (5.6) and

$$\mathbf{E}|T^0 (g_4^0)^2| \leq \frac{2}{\eta}[\mathbf{E}|T|\mathbf{E}|g_4^0| + \mathbf{E}|T g_4^0|]$$

imply the following inequality for W :

$$|W| \leq \frac{4v^2}{\eta^2}\mathbf{E}|T g_4^0| + \frac{4v^2}{\eta^2}\mathbf{E}|T|\mathbf{E}|g_4^0| + \frac{4v^2}{\eta^3 n}\mathbf{E}|T| + \frac{12v^2}{\eta^4 n^2}\mathbf{E}|g_i^0 g_j^0| + |\tilde{\Omega}|. \tag{5.7}$$

Henceforth, for sake of clarity, we consider $G = G_1 = G_3 = \bar{G}_4 = \bar{G}_2$, then we get $T = (g^0)^2 \bar{g}^0$ and

$$\mathbf{E}|T| \leq \sqrt{\mathbf{E}|g^0|^2}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}|g^0|^4} = \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g\}}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{W\}}. \tag{5.8}$$

Let us assume for the moment that

$$|\tilde{\Omega}| = O\left(\frac{1}{pn^3} + \frac{1}{p^3 n^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}}{pn^2} + \frac{\mathbf{Var}(g)}{np} + \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}\sqrt{W}}{p} + \frac{\sqrt{W}}{p^2}\right). \tag{5.9}$$

Now returning to (5.7) and gathering estimates given by relations (3.4), (5.8) and (5.9) imply the following estimate

$$W \leq A_1 \left(\frac{1}{p} + \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}\right)^2 \sqrt{W} + \frac{A_2}{np}\left(\frac{1}{p} + \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}\right)^2,$$

where A_1, A_2 are a constants. Then we obtain (5.2).

To complete the proof of Lemma 5.1, let us prove (5.9). To do this, we use the following statements.

Lemma 5.2 *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then under conditions of Theorem 2.2 the estimates*

$$D^3_{st}\{T^0\bar{G}(t,s)\} = O\left(n^{-3} + n^{-2}|g^0| + n^{-1}|g^0|^2 + |T^0|\right), \tag{5.10}$$

$$[D^4_{st}(T^0\bar{G}(t,s))]^{(\nu)} = O\left(\left\{n^{-3} + n^{-2}|[g^0]^{(\nu)}| + n^{-1}|[g^0]^{(\nu)}|^2 + |[T^0]^{(\nu)}|\right\}|G^{(\nu)}(t,s)|\right), \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}|[g^0]^{(\nu)}|^r = O\left(p^{-r/2}n^{-r} + \mathbf{E}|g^0|^r\right), \quad r = 1, \ldots, 4 \tag{5.12}$$

are true in the limit $n, b \to \infty$ satisfying (2.10) and for all $\nu = 0, 1, 2$.

We prove this Lemma at the end of this subsection.

Let us return to the proof of (5.9). Regarding the first term of the RHS of (5.5) and using the definition of K_4 (4.3), inequality (3.25) and estimate (5.10), one gets with the help of (5.8) that

$$|\frac{q_4}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\frac{2\Delta}{3np}\mathbf{E}\left\{D^3_{st}(T^0\bar{G}(t,s))\right\}|$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{pn^3} + \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}}{pn^2} + \frac{\mathbf{Var}(g)}{np} + \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}\sqrt{W}}{p}\right). \tag{5.13}$$

Now let us estimate Ω (5.4). Regarding the first term of the RHS of (5.4) and using (5.11), we obtain inequality

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|H(s,t)^5[D^4_{st}(T^0\bar{G}(s,t))]^{(0)}|$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{|H(s,t)|^5}{n^3} + \frac{|H(s,t)^5[g^0]^{(0)}|}{n^2} + \frac{|H(s,t)^5||[g^0]^{(0)}|^2}{n} + |H(s,t)^5|\mathbf{E}|[T]^{(0)}|\right)$$

$$+\frac{c}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|H(s,t)^5||[g^0]^{(0)}|^3|G^{(0)}(t,s)|.$$

To estimate the last term of this inequality, we use (3.5) and (5.12), and we get estimate

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|H(s,t)^5||[g^0]^{(0)}|^3|G^{(0)}(t,s)| \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}|H(s,t)|^{10}}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}|[g^0]^{(0)}|^6|G^{(0)}(t,s)|^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu_{10}^{1/2}}{p^2 n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|[g^0]^{(0)}|^6|G^{(0)}(t,s)|^2\right)^{1/2} = O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\sqrt{W^{(0)}}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}[\sqrt{W} + \frac{1}{pn^2}]\right).$$

Using this estimate, relation (5.12) and the same arguments in the proof of estimate of (4.11), one obtains that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}|H(s,t)^5[D^4_{st}(T^0\bar{G}(s,t))]^{(0)}|$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{p^{3/2}n^3}+\frac{1}{p^3n^2}+\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}}{p^2n^{3/2}}+\frac{\sqrt{W}}{p^2}+\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}\sqrt{W}}{p^{3/2}}\right). \tag{5.14}$$

Repeating the arguments used to prove (5.14), it is easy to show that the term

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}K_4\mathbf{E}|H(s,t)[D^4_{st}(T^0\bar{G}(s,t))]^{(1)}|+K_2\mathbf{E}|H(s,t)^3[D^4_{st}(T^0\bar{G}(s,t))]^{(2)}|$$

is of the order indicated in the RHS in (5.14) and that

$$\Omega = O\left(\frac{1}{p^{3/2}n^3}+\frac{1}{p^3n^2}+\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}}{p^2n^{3/2}}+\frac{\sqrt{W}}{p^2}+\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}(g)}\sqrt{W}}{p^{3/2}}\right). \tag{5.15}$$

Then the estimate (5.9) follows from (5.13) and (5.15). Lemma 5.1 is proved.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We start with (5.10). Remembering that $T=[g^0]^2\bar{g}^0$ and using (2.28) and (4.13), we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}|D^1_{st}\{T^0\}| = O(n^{-1}|g^0|^2).$$

$$D^2_{st}\{T^0\} = O\left(n^{-2}|g^0|+n^{-1}|g^0|^2\right),$$

$$D^3_{st}\{T^0\} = O\left(n^{-3}+n^{-2}|g^0|+n^{-1}|g^0|^2\right)$$

Now it is easy to show that (5.10) is true.

Similar computations prove the estimate (5.11).

Finally, let us prove (5.12). To simplify computation, we denote $[g]^{(\nu)}=\tilde{g}$. Then the resolvent identity (2.26) implies that

$$\tilde{g}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\tilde{G}(k,k)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}G(k,k)-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k,r,i=1}^{n}\tilde{G}(k,r)\{\tilde{H}-H\}(r,i)G(i,k)$$

$$= g-\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}\,(G\tilde{G}\delta_H)$$

with

$$\delta_H(r,i)=\{\tilde{H}-H\}(r,i)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if}\quad (r,i)\neq(s,j)\\ \tilde{H}(s,j)-H(s,j) & \text{if}\quad (r,i)=(s,j),\end{cases}$$

where $0\le|\tilde{H}(s,j)|\le|H(s,j)|$. Then

$$\mathbf{E}|\tilde{g}^0|^r\le c\mathbf{E}|g^0|^r+\frac{c}{n^r}\mathbf{E}|Tr(G\tilde{G}\delta_H)-\mathbf{E}(Tr(G\tilde{G}\delta_H))|^r,\ r=1,\ldots,4, \tag{5.16}$$

where c is a constant. Using (3.4), we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}|Tr(G\tilde{G}\delta_H) - \mathbf{E}(Tr(G\tilde{G}\delta_H))| \leq \frac{2}{\eta^2}\mathbf{E}\{|H(s,j)| + \mathbf{E}(|H(s,j)|)\}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{p}\eta^2}\left(\mathbf{E}\left\{|a(s,j)| + \mathbf{E}|a(s,j)|\frac{p}{n}\right\}\frac{p}{n} + \left[\mathbf{E}|a(s,j)|\frac{p}{n}\right]\left(1-\frac{p}{n}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right). \tag{5.17}$$

Relation (5.12) follows from (5.16) and estimate (5.17). Lemma 5.2 is proved. ■

5.1.2 Estimate of Y_2 (4.7)

Remembering that $Y_2 = \xi_2 v^2 n^{-2}\sum_{i,s}\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 TrG_2^2\}$, we consider the average

$$\breve{Y}_2 = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,s)^2\}$$

and apply to $G_2(i,s)$ the resolvent identity (2.26). Then

$$\breve{Y}_2 = \frac{\xi_2}{n}C_{12} - \frac{\xi_2}{n^2}\sum_{i,s,t=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,s)G_2(i,t)H(t,s)\}.$$

Applying (2.17) with $q=1$ to $\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,s)G_2(i,t)H(t,s)\}$, we obtain that

$$\breve{Y}_2 = 2\xi_2 v^2\mathbf{E}\{g_2\}\breve{Y}_2 + \frac{\xi_2}{n}C_{12} + \frac{2\xi_2 v^2}{n^2}\sum_{i,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i,s)^2 g_2^0\} + \sum_{r=1}^{3}\Theta_r, \tag{5.18}$$

where

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{2v^2\xi_2}{n^4}\sum_{s,t=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(s,t)G_2^2(s,t)\} + \frac{2v^2\xi_2}{n^3}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2^3(s,s)\},$$

$$\Theta_2 = -\frac{\xi_2}{n^2}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\frac{K_4}{6}\mathbf{E}\left\{D_{ts}^3(g_1^0 G_2^2(t,s))\right\}$$

and

$$\Theta_3 = -\frac{\xi_4}{n^2 4!}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}\mathbf{E}\left\{H(t,s)^5[D_{ts}^4(g_1^0 G_2^2(t,s))]^{(0)}\right\} +$$

$$\frac{\xi_4}{n^2}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}K_2\mathbf{E}\left\{H(t,s)^3[D_{ts}^4(g_1^0 G_2^2(t,s))]^{(1)}\right\}$$

$$+\frac{\xi_4}{n^2 3!}\sum_{t,s=1}^{n}K_4\mathbf{E}\left\{H(t,s)[D_{ts}^4(g_1^0 G_2^2(t,s))]^{(2)}\right\},$$

where K_r are the cumulants of $H(t,s)$ as in (4.3).

The term $2\xi_2 v^2\mathbf{E}\{g_2\}\breve{Y}_2$ can be put to the left-hand side of (5.18). Using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.27), it is easy to show that the second and the third terms of the RHS of (5.18) and Θ_1 are of the order indicated in the RHS of (4.7). Using similar arguments as those of the proof of (4.6) (see (4.16)-(4.19)) and the following estimate (c.f.(4.12))

$$D^r_{ts}(g^0_1 G^2_2(t,s)) = O\left(n^{-1} + |g^0_1|\right), \quad r = 3, 4,$$

we conclude that the terms Θ_2 and Θ_3 are of the order indicated in the RHS of (4.7). Relation (4.7) is proved. ■

5.1.3 Estimate of Y_3 (4.8)

We rewrite Y_3 in the form $Y_3 = \zeta_2 \Delta p^{-1} \mathbf{E}\left\{g^0_1 [B_{22}]^2\right\}$, where (cf. (4.35))

$$B_{22} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_2(i,i)^2.$$

Let us note that the estimate (4.8) follows from (2.40), inequality

$$|\mathbf{E}\{g^0_1 (B_{22})^2\}| \le 2\mathbf{E}|g^0_1 B^0_{22}|\mathbf{E}|B_{22}| + \mathbf{E}|g^0_1 (B^0_{22})^2| \le \frac{4}{\eta^2}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}}\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{B_{22}\}}$$

and estimate (4.36). This proves (4.8). Lemma 4.1 is proved.

■

5.2 Proof of Lemma 4.5.

5.2.1 Estimate of $\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\}$ (4.36)

Let us consider the average $\Pi = n^{-1}\sum_j \mathbf{E}\{B^0_{12} \bar{G}_1(j,j) \bar{G}_2(j,j)\}$ and apply to $\bar{G}_2(j,j)$ the resolvent identity (2.26), we obtain that

$$\Pi = \bar{\zeta}_2 \mathbf{E}\{B^0_{12} \bar{g}_1\} - \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2}{n} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{B^0_{12} \bar{G}_1(j,j) \bar{G}_2(j,s) H(s,j)\}.$$

Now applying formulas (2.17) with $q = 3$ and taking into account relation (2.28), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi =& \bar{\zeta}_2 \mathbf{E}\{B^0_{12} \bar{g}_1\} + \bar{\zeta}_2 v^2 \Pi \mathbf{E}\{\bar{g}_2\} + \bar{\zeta}_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{B^0_{12} \bar{B}_{12} \bar{g}^0_2\} \\
&+ \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2 v^2}{n^2} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{B^0_{12}[\bar{G}_1(j,j)\bar{G}_2(j,s)^2 + 2\bar{G}_1(j,j)\bar{G}_1(j,s)\bar{G}_2(j,s)]\} \\
&+ \frac{2\bar{\zeta}_2 v^2}{n^3} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,i)G_2(i,s)G_2(i,j)\bar{G}_1(j,j)\bar{G}_2(j,s)\} \\
&+ \frac{2\bar{\zeta}_2 v^2}{n^3} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_1(i,j)G_2(i,i)\bar{G}_1(j,j)\bar{G}_2(j,s)\} + U(z) \qquad (5.19)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} U(z) = &- \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2}{6n} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{ D_{sj}^3 (B_{12}^0 \bar{G}_1(j,j) \bar{G}_2(j,s)) \right\} \\ &- \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2}{n4!} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left\{ H(s,j)^5 [D_{sj}^4 (B_{12}^0 \bar{G}_1(j,j) \bar{G}_2(j,s))]^{(0)} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2}{n3!} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\left\{ H(s,j)^3 [D_{sj}^4 (B_{12}^0 \bar{G}_1(j,j) \bar{G}_2(j,s))]^{(1)} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\bar{\zeta}_2}{n3!} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{ H(s,j) [D_{sj}^4 (B_{12}^0 \bar{G}_1(j,j) \bar{G}_2(j,s))]^{(2)} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where K_r, $r = 2, 4$ are the cumulant of $H(s,j)$ as in (4.3). Let us assume for the moment that

$$U(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\}} \right). \tag{5.21}$$

Now returning to (5.19) and gathering estimates given by relations (3.4), (3.5), (5.6) and (5.21) imply the following estimate

$$\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\} \leq A_1 \left[\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}} + \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_2\}} + \frac{1}{p} \right] \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\}} + \frac{A_2}{p^2},$$

where A_1 and A_2 are some constants. Then (4.36) follows from this inequality.

Now, let us prove (5.21). To do this we use the following statement.

Lemma 5.3 *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then under conditions of Theorem 5.2.2, the estimates*

$$D_{sj}^r \{ B_{12}^0 \bar{G}_1(j,j) \bar{G}_2(j,s) \} = O\left(n^{-1} + |B_{12}^0| \right), \quad r = 3, 4 \tag{5.22}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}\{[B_{12}]^{(\nu)}\} = O\left(p^{-1} n^{-2} + \mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\} \right) \tag{5.23}$$

are true in the limit $n, p \to \infty$ satisfying (2.10) and for all $\nu = 0, 1, 2$.

We prove this Lemma at the end of this subsection.

Let us return to the proof of (5.21). Using the definition K_4 (4.3) and estimate (5.22), it is easy to show that the first term of the RHS of (5.20) is of the order indicated in the RHS of (5.21).

Regarding the first term of the RHS of (5.20) and using (5.22) and (5.23), we obtain inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left| H(s,j)^5 [D_{sj}^4 (B_{12}^0 \bar{G}_1(j,j) \bar{G}_2(j,s))]^{(0)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left\{ \frac{|H(s,j)|^5}{n} + |H(s,j)|^5 [B_{12}^0]^{(0)} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n} \sum_{j,s=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_5}{n^2 p^{3/2}} + \frac{c' \mu_{10}^{1/2}}{p^{9/2} n^{1/2}} \left[\frac{1}{p^{1/2} n} + \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\}} \right] \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

where c and $c^{'}$ are some constants. Repeating the arguments used to prove (5.24), it is easy to show that the third and the fourth terms of the RHS of (5.20) are of the order indicated in the RHS of (5.21). This proves (5.21).

Proof of Lemma 5.3. The estimate (5.22) follows from (2.28), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.27).

Let us prove (5.23). To simplify computation, we denote $[G_l]^{(\nu)} = \tilde{G}_l$, $l = 1, 2$. Then the resolvent identity (2.26) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} G_l(k,k) &= \tilde{G}_l(k,k) - \sum_{r,i=1}^{n} G_l(k,r)\delta_H(r,i)\tilde{G}_l(i,k) \\ &= \tilde{G}_l(k,k) - G_l(k,s)[H(s,j) - \tilde{H}(s,j)]\tilde{G}_l(j,k) \end{aligned}$$

for $l = 1, 2$ with

$$\delta_H(r,i) = \{H - \tilde{H}\}(r,i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad (r,i) \neq (s,j); \\ H(s,j) - \tilde{H}(s,j) & \text{if} \quad (r,i) = (s,j), \end{cases}$$

where $0 \le |\tilde{H}(s,j)| \le |H(s,j)|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_{12} &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} G_1(k,k)G_2(k,k) \\ &= \tilde{B}_{12} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} G_1(k,s)G_2(k,s)\tilde{G}_1(j,k)\tilde{G}_2(j,k)\delta_H(s,j)^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{G}_1(k,k)G_2(k,s)\tilde{G}_2(j,k)\delta_H(s,j) \\ &- \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{G}_2(k,k)G_1(k,s)\tilde{G}_1(j,k)\delta_H(s,j). \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that the last equality together with relations (3.4) and (3.27) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}\{\tilde{B}_{12}\} &\le 4\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\} + \frac{c_1}{n^2}\Big(\mathbf{E}|H(s,j)|^4 + \big[\mathbf{E}|H(s,j)|^2\big]^2 + 2\mathbf{E}|H(s,j)|^2\Big) \\ &\le 4\mathbf{Var}\{B_{12}\} + \frac{c}{n^2}\left(\frac{\mu_4}{np} + \frac{\mu_2^2}{n^2} + \frac{2\mu_2}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where where c_1 and c are constants. This proves (5.23). Lemma 5.3 is proved and this proves of the estimate (4.36). ■

5.2.2 Proof of relation (4.37)

Remembering that $B_{12} = n^{-1}\sum_i G_1(i,i)G_2(i,i)$. Applying relation (2.26) to one of $G_2(i,i)$ and using formula (2.17) with $q = 3$, we get relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{B_{12}\} = \zeta_2\mathbf{E}\{g_1\} + \zeta_2 v^2\mathbf{E}\{B_{12}g_2\} + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1 =& \frac{\zeta_2 v^2}{n^2} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i,s)G_2(i,s)G_2(i,i) + G_1(i,i)G_2(i,s)^2\} \\ &- \frac{\zeta_2}{6n} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{D^3_{si}(G_1(i,i)G_2(i,s))\right\} \\ \Gamma_2 =& -\frac{\zeta_2}{n} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} \tilde{\Gamma}_{is},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\tilde{\Gamma}_{is}| \le c \sup_{i,s} |D^4_{si}(G_1(i,i)G_2(i,s))| \mathbf{E}|H(s,i)|^5 \le \frac{c\mu_5}{\eta^6 p^{3/2} n},$$

K_r are the cumulants of $H(s,i)$ as in (4.3) and c is a constant.

Using identity (4.30), we obtain the following relation for $\mathbf{E}\{B_{12}\}$:

$$\mathbf{E}\{B_{12}\} = \frac{\zeta_2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1\}}{1-\zeta_2\mathbf{E}\{g_2\}} + \frac{1}{1-\zeta_2\mathbf{E}\{g_2\}}\left[\zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{B_{12} g_2^0\} + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2\right] \qquad (5.25)$$

Relations (3.4), (4.25) and the estimate

$$\max_{r=3,4}\left(\sup_{s,i} |D^r_{si}(G_1(i,i)G_2(i,s))|\right) \le c_1$$

imply that

$$\left|\zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{B_{12} g_2^0\} + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \qquad (5.26)$$

where c_1 is a constant.

Now to proceed with the estimate of the first term of the RHS of (5.25), we use the following simple statement that we prove in the end of this subsection.

Lemma 5.4 *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, under conditions of Theorem 2.2, then the estimate*

$$\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,p}(z)\} = w(z) + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \qquad (5.27)$$

holds for enough n, p satisfying (2.10).

Using Lemma 5.4 and relation (5.26), we derive from (5.25) estimate (4.37).

5.2.3 Proof of relation (4.38)

We introduce the variable

$$U_{12} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_1^2(i,i) G_2(i,i).$$

Applying relation (2.26) to one of $G_2(i,i)$ and using formula (2.17) with $q = 3$, we get relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{U_{12}\} = \zeta_2 \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}\{\mathrm{Tr}\ G_1^2\} + \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{U_{12} g_2\} + \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1 =& \frac{2\zeta_2 v^2}{n^2} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_1(i,s)G_2(i,s) + G_1^2(i,s)G_1(i,i)G_2(i,s)\} \\ &+ \frac{\zeta_2 v^2}{n^2} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i,i)G_2(i,s)^2\} - \frac{\zeta_2}{6n} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{D_{si}^3(G_1^2(i,i)G_2(i,s))\right\} \\ \Psi_2 =& -\frac{\zeta_2}{n} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} \tilde{\Psi}_{is},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_{is}| \leq c \sup_{i,s} |D_{si}^4(G_1^2(i,i)G_2(i,s))| \mathbf{E}|H(s,i)|^5 \leq \frac{c\mu_5}{\eta^7 p^{3/2} n},$$

K_r are the cumulants of $H(s,i)$ as in (4.3) and c is a constant.

Using identity (4.30), we obtain the following relation for $\mathbf{E}\{U_{12}\}$:

$$\mathbf{E}\{U_{12}\} = \frac{\zeta_2 \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}\{\mathrm{Tr}\ G_1^2\}}{1-\zeta_2\mathbf{E}\{g_2\}} + \frac{1}{1-\zeta_2\mathbf{E}\{g_2\}}\left[\zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{U_{12} g_2^0\} + \Psi_1 + \Psi_2\right] \qquad (5.28)$$

Relations (3.4), (4.25) and the estimate

$$\max_{r=3,4}\left(\sup_{s,i}|D_{si}^r(G_1^2(i,i)G_2(i,s))|\right) \leq c_1$$

imply that

$$\left|\zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{U_{12} g_2^0\} + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \qquad (5.29)$$

where c_1 is a constant.

Using relations (4.27), (5.29) and (5.27), we derive from (5.28) the estimate (4.38). Lemma 4.5 is proved.

Proof of Lemma 5.4

Remembering that $g = n^{-1}\sum_i G(i,i)$. Applying relation (2.26) to one of $G(i,i)$ and using formula (2.17) with $q = 3$, we get relation

$$\mathbf{E}\{g\} = \zeta + \zeta v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g\}^2 + \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 \qquad (5.30)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1 =& \frac{2\zeta v^2}{n^2} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\{G(i,s)^2\} + \zeta v^2[\mathbf{E}\{g^2\} - \mathbf{E}\{g\}^2] \\ &- \frac{\zeta}{6n} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\left\{D_{si}^3(G(i,s))\right\} \\ \Phi_2 =& -\frac{\zeta}{n} \sum_{i,s=1}^{n} \tilde{\Phi}_{is},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\tilde{\Phi}_{is}| \leq c \sup_{i,s} |D_{si}^4(G(i,s))| \mathbf{E}|H(s,i)|^5 \leq \frac{c\mu_5}{\eta^5 p^{3/2} n},$$

K_r are the cumulants of $H(s,i)$ as in (4.3) and c is a constant.

Relations (3.4), (4.25) and the estimate

$$\max_{r=3,4}\left(\sup_{s,i}|D^r_{si}(G(i,s))|\right)\le c_1$$

imply that

$$|\Phi_1+\Phi_2| = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right), \tag{5.31}$$

where c_1 is a constant. Using relation (5.31), we derive from (5.30) the estimate (5.27). Lemma 5.4 is proved. ■

6 Scaling Limit And Universality Conjecture

The asymptotic expression for $C_{n,p}(z_1,z_2)$ obtained in Theorem 2.2 and $T(z_1,z_2)$ regarded in the limit $z_1=\lambda_1+i\epsilon_1$, $z_2=\lambda_2+i\epsilon_2$ and $\epsilon_j\downarrow 0, j=1,2$ can supply the information about the local properties of eigenvalue distribution. We follow the schema proposed in [13].

Let us recall the inversion formula of the Stieltjes transform $w(z)$ (2.6) of the semicircle distribution with the density $\rho_{sc}=\sigma'_{sc}$:

$$\rho_{sc}(\lambda)=\pi^{-1}\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\operatorname{Im} w(\lambda+i\epsilon)=I_\lambda\{w(z)\}. \tag{6.1}$$

Consider the density-density correlation function

$$F_{n,p}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=\mathbf{E}\{\rho_{n,p}(\lambda_1)\rho_{n,p}(\lambda_2)\}-\mathbf{E}\{\rho_{n,p}(\lambda_1)\}\mathbf{E}\{\rho_{n,p}(\lambda_2)\}. \tag{6.2}$$

It is easy to see that the Stieltjes transform of $F_{n,p}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ is

$$C_{n,p}(z_1,z_2)=\iint\frac{F_{n,p}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1-z_1)(\lambda_2-z_2)}d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2, \qquad \operatorname{Im} z_i\neq 0.$$

Applying formally the inversion formula (6.1), we obtain the following relation

$$F_{n,p}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=I_{\lambda_1}\circ I_{\lambda_2}\{C_{n,p}(z_1,z_2)\}. \tag{6.3}$$

In Theorem 2.2, we have found explicitly the leading term of $C_{n,p}(z_1,z_2)$ in the domain $|\operatorname{Im} z|\ge 2v$. However, since the functions S (2.12) and T (2.13) can be continued up to the real axis with respect to the both variables z_1 and z_2, we can apply to the leading term of (2.11) the operation $I_{\lambda_1}I_{\lambda_2}$, $\lambda_1\neq\lambda_2$ to compute formally the "leading" term of the density-density correlation function. This means that we perform first the limit $n,p\to\infty$ and then the limits $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\downarrow 0$. This order of limiting transitions is inverse with respect to that prescribed by the definition (6.3).

Let us denote $w_j=w(z_j)$, $j=1,2$ and write the identity

$$\frac{w_1-w_2}{z_1-z_2}=\frac{w_1w_2}{1-v^2w_1w_2}$$

that is an easy consequence of the equation (2.6). This identity yields relations

$$\epsilon|w(\lambda+i\epsilon)|^2=\operatorname{Im} w(\lambda+i\epsilon)(1-v^2|w(\lambda+i\epsilon)|^2) \tag{6.4}$$

and $|w(\lambda+i\epsilon)|^2 = v^{-2}$ for λ such that $\operatorname{Im} w(\lambda+i0) > 0$. Combining (6.4) with (1.3), we obtain that

$$v^2[\operatorname{Re} w(\lambda+i0)]^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{4v^2} \quad \text{and} \quad v^2[\operatorname{Im} w(\lambda+i0)]^2 = 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4v^2}. \tag{6.5}$$

Let us consider the terms of the RHS of (2.11) given by (2.12) and (2.13). Using (6.5), we obtain that

$$I_{\lambda_1} \circ I_{\lambda_2}\{S(z_1,z_2)\} = -\frac{1}{2v^2\pi^2[(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)]^2}\frac{4v^2-\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(4v^2-\lambda_1^2)^{1/2}(4v^2-\lambda_2^2)^{1/2}}$$

and

$$I_{\lambda_1} \circ I_{\lambda_2}\{T(z_1,z_2)\} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 v^8}\frac{(2v^2-\lambda_1^2)(2v^2-\lambda_2^2)}{(4v^2-\lambda_1^2)^{1/2}(4v^2-\lambda_2^2)^{1/2}}.$$

Then for $\mathcal{F}_{n,p}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ (6.2) we get the following formal expression

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{n,p}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) &= -\frac{1}{\pi^2[n(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)]^2}\frac{4v^2-\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(4v^2-\lambda_1^2)^{1/2}(4v^2-\lambda_2^2)^{1/2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{np}\frac{V_4}{2\pi^2v^8}\frac{(2v^2-\lambda_1^2)(2v^2-\lambda_2^2)}{(4v^2-\lambda_1^2)^{1/2}(4v^2-\lambda_2^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{n^2}\frac{3v^4}{2\pi^2v^8}\frac{(2v^2-\lambda_1^2)(2v^2-\lambda_2^2)}{(4v^2-\lambda_1^2)^{1/2}(4v^2-\lambda_2^2)^{1/2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

It is easy to see that in the scaling limit

$$\lambda_1,\lambda_2 \to \lambda, \quad n(\lambda_2-\lambda_1) \to s, \tag{6.7}$$

one gets equality

$$\lim_{n(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)\to s} \mathcal{F}_{n,p}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2 s^2}. \tag{6.8}$$

We see that the terms of the order $O(1/np)$ that depend on the value V_4 disappear in the local scale limit (6.7) and the density-density correlation function gets the universal form (6.8). This result can be regarded as an evidence of the fact that the moderate dilution of Wigner random matrices given by the limit (2.10) does not change the universality class of the local eigenvalue statistics.

Condition $3/5 < \alpha \leq 1$ (2.10) of Theorem 2.2 is related with the technical restriction of the approach we use. Indeed, we need this in the bound (4.26) to estimate the term Υ (4.10) that corresponds to the last term of the cumulant expansion of the order 5 (see (4.5)). Pushing forward this expansion to the orders higher than 5, one could consider lower values for α. However, this requires much more cumbersome computations than those of the present paper.

Acknowledgements. O.K. is grateful for the research project "Grandes Matrices Aléatoires" ANR-08-BLAN-0311-01 for the financial support.

Références

[1] Ayadi S. Semicircle law for random matrices of long-range percolation model. Preprint arxiv : 0806.4497, to appear in *Random Oper. Stoch. Eqs.* **16** (2009)

[2] Ayadi S. Asymptotic properties of random matrices of long-range percolation model. Arxiv : 0904.2837, submitted to *Random Oper. Stoch. Eqs.*

[3] Bessis D., Itzykson C. and Zuber J. B. Quantum field theory thechniques in graphical enumeration. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **1**, 109-157 (1980)

[4] Boutet de Monvel A., Khorunzhy A. Asymptotic distribution of smoothed eigenvalue density :

I. Gaussian random matrices, *Random Oper. Stoch. Eqs.* **7**, 1-22 (1999)

II. Wigner random matrices, *Random Oper. Stoch. Eqs.* **7**, 149-167 (1999)

[5] Brézin E. and Zee A. Universality of the correlations between eigenvalues of large random matrices.*Nucl. Phys.* **B402** no. **3**, 613-627 (1993) ;

Ambjorn J., Jurkiewicz J. and Makeenko Yu. M. Multiloop correlators for two-dimensional quantum gravity. *Phys. lett.* B **251** (4), 517-524 (1990)

[6] Crisanti A., Paladin G. and Vulpiani A. *Products of Random Matrices in Statistical Physics. Berlin : Springer*, (1993)

[7] Dyson F J. Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems (III).*J.Math. Phys* **3**, 166-175 (1962)

[8] Evangelou, S. N. A numerical study of sparse random matrices. *J. Statist. Phys.* **69** (1992) 361–383

[9] Fyodorov, Ya. V. and Mirlin, A. D. On the density of states of sparse random matrices. *J. Phys. A* **24** (1991), no. 9, 2219–2223.

Mirlin, A. D. and Fyodorov, Ya. V. Universality of level correlation function of sparse random matrices. *J. Phys. A* **24** (1991), no. 10, 2273-2286

[10] Guhr T., Mueller-Groeling A. and Weidenmueller H. A. Random -matrix theories in quantum physics : Common concepts. *Phys. Rep.* **299**, 189-425 (1998)

[11] Haake F. *Quantum Signatures of Chaos.* Berlin : Springer, (1991)

[12] Khorunzhy A. On smoothed density of states for Wigner random matrices. *Rand. Oper. Stoch. Eqs.* **5**, 147-162 (1997)

[13] Khorunzhy A., Khoruzhenko B. and Pastur L. Asymptotic properties of large random matrices with independent entries.*J.Math. Phys.* **37** , 5033-5060 (1996)

[14] Khorunzhy A, Khoruzhenko B, Pastur L and Shcherbina M. : In : *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and J. Lebowitz Academic, London, (1992), Vol 15

[15] Khorunzhy A. and Kirsch W. On Asymptotic Expansions and Scales of Spectral Universality in Band Random Matrix Ensembles.*Commun. Math. Phys.* **231**, 223-255 (2002)

[16] Khorunzhy A, Pastur L. On the eigenvalue distribution of the deformed Wigner ensemble of random matrices. *Adv. Soviet. Math.* **19**, 97-107 (1994)

[17] Kühn, R. Spectra of sparse random matrices. *J. Phys. A* **41** (2008), no. 29, 295002, 21 pp.

[18] Marchenko V. and Pastur L. Eigenvalue distribution of some class of random matrices. *Matem. Sbornik.* **72**, 507 (1972).

[19] Mehta N-M. *Random Matrices*, 2nd ed. Academic Press, New York, (1991)

[20] Molchanov S., Pastur L. and Khorunzhy A. Eigenvalue distribution for band random matrices in the limit of their infinite rank. *Teoret. Matem. Fiz.* **99**, (1992)

[21] Pastur L. A. The spectrum of random matrices. *Teoret. Matem. Fiz.* **10** (1972) 102–112 (in Russian)

[22] Porter C. (ed.) : *Statistical Theories of Spectra : Fluctuations.* New York : Acad. Press, (1965)

[23] Rodgers G. J. and Bray A. J. Density of states of a sparse random matrix *Phys. Rev.* **B 37** (1988) 3557-3562

[24] Semerjian, G. and Cugliandolo, L. F. Sparse random matrices : the eigenvalue spectrum revisited. *J. Phys. A* **35** (2002), no. 23, 4837-4851.

[25] Wigner E. Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimentions. *Ann. Math.* **62**, (1955)